

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,504	07/21/2003	Satoshi Seo	12732-160001	4688	
26171 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/06/2007 ARDSON P.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			GARRETT, DAWN L		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1774		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	04/06/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				th/	
- ··•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,	
A.		10/622,504	SEO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dawn Garrett	1774		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this cone (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 F</u>	ehruany 2007			
		s action is non-final.			
3)	,				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 15-22 is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3,14 and 23-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objec	s/are withdrawn from considerations or election requirement. er. in accepted or b) in objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s) is	by the Examiner. se 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFF		
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	stage	
Attachmen		🗖			
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R	ate		
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-8-07</u> .	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 8, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are pending. Claims 3, 14 and 23-32 are under consideration. Claims 1, 2, 4-13 and 15-22 are withdrawn as non-elected.
- 3. The rejection of claims 3, 14 and 23-32 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernius et al. (US 2002/0153523) in view of Kono et al. (US 5,917,693) in further view of Nakayama et al. (US 5,943,154) as previously set forth is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 14, 27, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamano et al. (US 5,811,834) in view of Kono et al. (US 5,917,693) in further view of Lamansky et al. (US 2004/0004433 A1). Tamano et al. discloses organo-electroluminescence devices comprising on a substrate an ITO electrode, a hole-injecting layer, a light-emitting layer, an electron-injecting layer and a cathode. The hole injecting material is taught to include an

Art Unit: 1774

electrically conductive polymer (see col. 25, lines 64-67). Tamano et al. further teaches the hole injecting material may be sensitivity-increased by incorporating an electron-accepting material (see col. 26, lines 32-34).

Tamano et al. fails to teach polyaniline specifically as the electrically conductive polymer. Kono et al. teaches in analogous art electrically conductive polymer compositions comprising polyaniline and an electron acceptor (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected polyaniline for the electrically conductive polymer of the Tamano et al. device, because one would expect the polyaniline to be suitable as the electrically conductive polymer of the Tamano et al. device, since it is described by Kono et al. as electrically conductive.

Tamano et al. also fails to teach specifically a compound according to applicant's formula 3 as the electron accepting material. Lamansky et al. teaches, in analogous art, that tetrafluoro-tetracyanoquinodimethane (F₄-TCNQ), which reads upon instant formula 3, is a known electron acceptor material for addition to a hole injecting buffer layer of an organic electroluminescent device (see par. 67 and 126). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected F₄-TCNQ as an electron acceptor material for the Tamano et al. hole injecting layer, because Lamansky et al. clearly teaches the compound as an electron acceptor material for an OLED hole injecting buffer layer and one would expect the compound to be similarly useful as the electron acceptor in the Tamano et al. device. The hole injecting layer rendered obvious by Tamano et al. is deemed to read upon applicant's "buffer layer over the anode".

6. Claims 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamano et al. (US 5,811,834) in view of Kono et al. (US 5,917,693) in view

Art Unit: 1774

of Lamansky et al. (US 2004/0004433 A1) and in further view of Epstein et al. (US 6,235,414 B1). The rejection of claims 14, 27 and 30 are relied upon as set forth above. Kono et al. fails to specifically a particular *form* of polyaniline that is used as the electrically conductive polymer. Epstein et al. teaches emeraldine is a well known form of polyaniline useful in a charge injection layer of a light emitting device (see abstract and col. 4, lines (60-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the emeraldine form of polyaniline to use as the electrically conductive polymer, because Epstein et al. teaches it is a well-known and used form of polyaniline and would be expected to be similarly useful as a charge injector in other devices. Because the references disclose the same materials set forth by applicant, the redox properties of claims 25, 28, and 31 are deemed to be inherent.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamano et al. (US 5,811,834) in view of Kono et al. (US 5,917,693) in view of Lamansky et al. (US 2004/0004433 A1) in further view of Igarashi et al. (US 6,210,817). Tamano et al. discloses organoelectroluminescence devices comprising on a substrate an ITO electrode, a hole-injecting layer, a light-emitting layer, an electron-injecting layer and a cathode. The hole injecting material is taught to include an electrically conductive polymer (see col. 25, lines 64-67). Tamano et al. further teaches the hole injecting material may be sensitivity-increased by incorporating an electron-accepting material (see col. 26, lines 32-34).

Tamano et al. fails to teach polyaniline specifically as the electrically conductive polymer. Kono et al. teaches in analogous art electrically conductive polymer compositions comprising polyaniline and an electron acceptor (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected polyaniline for the electrically conductive

Art Unit: 1774

polymer of the Tamano et al. device, because one would expect the polyaniline to be suitable as the electrically conductive polymer of the Tamano et al. device, since it is described by Kono et al. as electrically conductive.

Tamano et al. also fails to teach specifically a compound according to applicant's formula 3 as the electron accepting material. Lamansky et al. teaches, in analogous art, that tetrafluoro-tetracyanoquinodimethane (F₄-TCNQ), which reads upon instant formula 3, is a known electron acceptor material for addition to a hole injecting buffer layer of an organic electroluminescent device (see par. 67 and 126). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected F₄-TCNQ as an electron acceptor material for the Tamano et al. hole injecting layer, because Lamansky et al. clearly teaches the compound as an electron acceptor material for an OLED hole injecting buffer layer and one would expect the compound to be similarly useful as the electron acceptor in the Tamano et al. device. The hole injecting layer rendered obvious by Tamano et al. is deemed to read upon applicant's "buffer layer over the anode".

Tamano et al. fails to teach specifically that a hole transport layer is included in the device. Igarashi et al. teaches in analogous art that it is well known to have a hole transporting layer between a hole injecting layer and a light emitting layer in an organic luminescent device (see col. 14, lines 33-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added a hole transporting layer to the Tamano et al. device, because Igarashi et al. teaches hole transporting layers are conventional elements of organic luminescent devices that further aid hole transportation through the device and one would expect the addition of a hole transporting layer to the Tamano et al. device to similarly aid the device in better hole transportation through the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,504 Page 6

Art Unit: 1774

8. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamano et al. (US 5,811,834) in view of Kono et al. (US 5,917,693) in view of Lamansky et al. (US 2004/0004433 A1) in view of Igarashi et al. (US 6,210,817) and in further view of Epstein et al. (US 6,235,414 B1). The rejection of claim 3 is relied upon as set forth above. Kono et al. fails to specifically a particular *form* of polyaniline that is used as the electrically conductive polymer. Epstein et al. teaches emeraldine is a well known form of polyaniline useful in a charge injection layer of a light emitting device (see abstract and col. 4, lines (60-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the emeraldine form of polyaniline to use as the electrically conductive polymer, because Epstein et al. teaches it is a well-known and used form of polyaniline and would be expected to be similarly useful as a charge injector in other devices. Because the references disclose the same materials set forth by applicant, the redox properties of claims 23 and 24 are deemed to be inherent.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dawn Garrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1774

Page 7